# Lilotane: A Lifted SAT-based Approach **To Hierarchical Planning**

Dominik Schreiber • dominik.schreiber@kit.edu

Institute of Theoretical Informatics, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology • Germany Presentation of JAIR Article [1] at IJCAI 2021



- Central decisions: Which method with which arguments to pick for each compound task?
- Layers  $L_0, \ldots, L_4$  and positions  $P_{l,x}$  which contain possible **operations**, i.e., actions (rectangular) and reductions (rounded); a solution is highlighted.

SAT-based TOHTN planners (Tree-REX [3], PANDA-totSAT [2]) • Shifts majority of effort to SAT solver, reduces memory footprint

## SAT-based HTN [2, 3]

- 1. Ground parametrized operators and methods into "flat" actions and reductions
- 2. Encode problem hierarchy up to **layer** (depth) l in propositional logic 3. Perform **SAT** solving on formula **4**. While unsatisfiable: l++, goto 2. 5. Decode plan from satisfying

assignment

Grounding can be a problem!

• Consider method deliver(r, t, x, Y)where place Y is determined by a parent task and resource r, truck t, place x have 20 possible values each  $\Rightarrow 8000 \text{ reductions!}$ 

### **Central Idea: Lifted Encoding**

Consider method deliver(r, t, x, Y): Instead of many new ground operations, introduce **pseudo-constants**  $\rho, \tau, \xi$  and only instantiate and encode a single operation  $o = deliver(\rho, \tau, \xi, Y)$ . Encode operation o relative to pseudoconstants: Boolean variable for o, for each possible pseudo-constant substitution, and for each precondition/effect with pseudo-constants. Add clauses to logically enforce preconditions/effects relative to the active substitutions.

### Experiments



- Few domains where merits of grounding appear to outweigh its problems (e.g. Entertainment)
- **Competitive** in state-of-the-art TOHTN planning: Runner-up in Total Order track of IPC 2020
- Produces plans of **high quality** even without plan improvement; often finds **optimal plans** when using plan improvement
- Lifted instantiation and encoding techniques may be applicable for related planning approaches, e.g., general HTN planning

#### References

[1] Dominik Schreiber. Lilotane: A lifted SAT-based approach to

• Blowup in input size, bottleneck w.r.t. time and memory

#### Objectives

• Skip grounding: Instantiate problem hierarchy as little as possible, only when needed • Avoid blowup: Find a more compact logical representation than fully instantiating all free arguments

Share of total runtime of successful runs

hierarchical planning. Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research, 70:1117–1181, 2021.

[2] Gregor Behnke, D. Höller, and S. Biundo. totSAT – totally-ordered hierarchical planning through SAT. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, volume 32, pages 6110–6118, 2018.

[3] Dominik Schreiber, Damien Pellier, Humbert Fiorino, et al. Tree-REX: SAT-based tree exploration for efficient and high-quality HTN planning. In 29th ICAPS, pages 382–390, 2019.